UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,762	04/25/2007	Jean-Marc Martin	GER-0852	1593	
23413 CANTOR COL	04/25/2007 Jean-Marc Martin GER-0852 590 09/17/2009 BURN, LLP t DUONG, DIE	IINER			
20 Church Street 22nd Floor			DUONG, DIEU HIEN		
Hartford, CT 06	5103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2821	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/17/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/586,762	MARTIN, JEAN-MARC	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DIEU HIEN T. DUONG	2821	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-	
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 			
after the expiration of the period for reply.	_(with a Certificate of Mailing of Trans	Sillission dated	
(b) \square No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of	
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review	
7. X The reason(s) below:			
Applicant's representative, Daniel Drexler, Reg. No. been filed.	47,535, indicated on September	9, 2009 that no response has	
	/Trinh Vo Dinh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 2821	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to	

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20090909